**Permanent Supportive Housing – Ranking and Scoring Tool Project Name:**

**Grant ID: \_**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max**  **Points** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Awarded**  **Points** |
| **1. Permanent Housing Placements**  Source: APR Report  The % of persons who exited to permanent housing destinations. | 20 | 20 Points: 90 – 100%  15 Points: 80 – 89%  10 Points: 60 – 79%  0 Points: 59% or less |  |
| **2. Utilization Rate**  Source: APR Report  The average % of units that were utilized nightly on all PIT dates. | 10 | 10 Points: 90% or more  5 Points: 80 – 89%  0 Points: 79% or less |  |
| **3. Employment Income**  Source: APR Report  The % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased earned income at program exit. | 10 | 10 Points: 50% or more  5 Points: 40 – 49%  3 Points: 30 – 39%  0 Points: 29% or less |  |
| **4. Cash Benefits**  Source: APR Report  The % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased cash income (non-employment) at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more  3 Points: 40 – 74%  0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **5. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash)**  Source: APR Report  The % of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more  3 Points: 40 – 74%  0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **6. Chronic Homelessness**  Source: APR Report  Percent of clients that entered the project during the operating year that were chronically homeless. | 10 | 10 Points: 65% or more  5 Points: 50 – 64%  0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **7. 10. VI SPDAT / SPDAT**  Percentage of clients accepted into the program that score 12 or higher on VI-SPDAT | 5 | 5 Points: 90% or more  0 Points: 89% or less |  |
| **8. Returns to Homelessness**  Source: Sys PM Report  Percentage of households who exit to permanent housing destinations and return to homelessness within 1 year. | 10 | 10 Points: 5% or less  5 Points: 6 – 10%  0 Points: 11% or more |  |
| **9. Severity of Needs**  Source: APR Report  Percentage of clients with at least two condition at entry (including SMI, SUD, chronic health conditions, HIV/AIDS,  developmental and physical disabilities). | 10 | 10 Points: 90% or more  5 Points: 89 - 50%  0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **10. HMIS**  Source: HMIS Administrator / Executive Director  The extent to which the project: 1. Enters all client data into HMIS, 2. Has satisfactory data quality, and 3. Has satisfactory data  timeliness. | 15 | 15 Points: 3 factors met  10 Points: 2 factors met  5 Points: 1 factor met  0 Points: 0 factors met |  |
| **Total Possible Points** | **100** |  |  |

**Total Points Awarded:**

**Permanent Supportive Housing – KVCCoC Ranking Deductions Tool**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max Deduction** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Deducted Points** |
| **1. Housing First Approach**  The extent to which the project follows a housing first approach: 1. Individuals are placed and stabilized in permanent housing without preconditions, and 2. Individuals never face requirements to participate in services as a  condition to retain their housing. | -10 | Does the project operate using the Housing First Approach?  -10 Points: 0 factors met   * 5 Points: 1 factor met * 0 Points: 2 factors met |  |
| **2. Grant Spending**  Source: eLOCCS  Up to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less * 3 Points: spent 80 – 89% * 0 Points: spent 90% or more |  |
| **3. History of Recaptures**  Source: eLOCCS  Up to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the previous 3 years. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less * 3 Points: spent 80 – 89% * 0 Points: spent 90% or more |  |
| **4. APR Submission**  Source: SAGE  10 points deducted if the APR was submitted late or if the APR was rejected by HUD and not amended and corrected  within 30 days. | -10 | Did the project submit APR in a timely manner?  -10 Points: No  - 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **5. Coordinated Entry**  Source: CE Subcommittee  5 points deducted if the program did not coordinate and collaborate with the CoC’s Coordinated Entry system. | -5 | -5 Points: No  - 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **6. Exits to Streets**  Source: APR Data Tracking Spreadsheet  5 points deducted if the percentage of the program’s exits to Places Not Meant for Human Habitation was greater than 5% during the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | Are exits to the street greater than 5%?  -5 Points: Yes  - 0 Points: No |  |
| **Total Possible Points Deductions** | **40** |  |  |

**Total Points Deducted: --**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **TOTAL** |
| **Points Awarded** |  |
| **Points Deducted** |  |
| **Points Possible** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE / PERCENTAGE** |  |

**Rapid Rehousing – Ranking and Scoring Tool Project Name: Grant ID: \_**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max**  **Points** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Awarded**  **Points** |
| **1. Permanent Housing Placements**  Source: APR Report  The % of persons who exited to permanent housing destinations. | 20 | 20 Points: 90 – 100%  15 Points: 80 – 89%  10 Points: 60 – 79%  0 Points: 59% or less |  |
| **2. Length of Time to Move-In**  Source: APR Report  The average length of time for persons to move into housing. | 10 | 10 Points: 30 days or less  5 Points: 31 – 60 days  0 Points: 61 days or longer |  |
| **3. Employment Income**  Source: APR Report  The % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased earned income at program exit. | 10 | 10 Points: 50% or more  5 Points: 40 – 49%  3 Points: 30 – 39%  0 Points: 29% or less |  |
| **4. Cash Benefits**  Source: APR Report  The % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased cash income (non-employment) at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more  3 Points: 40 – 74%  0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **5. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash)**  Source: APR Report  The % of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more  3 Points: 40 – 74%  0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **6. Chronic Homelessness**  Source: APR Report  Percent of clients that entered the project during the operating year that were chronically homeless. | 10 | 10 Points: 65% or more  5 Points: 50 – 64%  0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **7. 10. VI SPDAT / SPDAT**  Percentage of clients accepted into the program that score 6 or higher on VI-SPDAT | 5 | 5 Points – 90% or more  0 Points – 89% or less |  |
| **8. Returns to Homelessness**  Source: Sys PM Report  Percentage of households who exit to permanent housing destinations and return to homelessness within 1 year. | 10 | 10 Points: 5% or less  5 Points: 6 – 10%  0 Points: 11% or more |  |
| **9. Severity of Needs**  Source: APR Report  Percentage of clients with at least two condition at entry (including SMI, SUD, chronic health conditions, HIV/AIDS,  developmental and physical disabilities). | 10 | 10 Points: 90% or more  5 Points: 89 - 50%  0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **10. HMIS**  Source: HMIS Administrator  The extent to which the project: 1. Enters all client data into HMIS, 2. Has satisfactory data quality, and 3. Has satisfactory data  timeliness. | 15 | 15 Points: 3 factors met  10 Points: 2 factors met  5 Points: 1 factor met  0 Points: 0 factors met |  |
| **Total Possible Points** | **100** |  |  |

**Total Points Awarded:**

**Rapid Rehousing – KVCCoC Ranking Deductions Tool**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max Deduction** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Deducted Points** |
| **1. Housing First Approach**  Source: Housing First Subcommittee  The extent to which the project follows a housing first approach: 1. Individuals are placed and stabilized in permanent housing without preconditions, and 2. Individuals never face requirements to participate in services as a  condition to retain their housing. | -10 | Does the project operate using the Housing First Approach?  -10 Points: 0 factors met   * 5 Points: 1 factor met * 0 Points: 2 factors met |  |
| **2. Grant Spending**  Source: eLOCCS  Up to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less * 3 Points: spent 80 – 89% * 0 Points: spent 90% or more |  |
| **3. History of Recaptures**  Source: eLOCCS  Up to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the previous 3 years. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less * 3 Points: spent 80 – 89% * 0 Points: spent 90% or more |  |
| **4. APR Submission**  Source: SAGE  10 points deducted if the APR was submitted late or if the APR was rejected by HUD and not amended and corrected  within 30 days. | -10 | Did the project submit APR in a timely manner?  -10 Points: No  - 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **5. Coordinated Entry**  Source: CE Subcommittee  5 points deducted if the program did not coordinate and collaborate with the CoC’s Coordinated Entry system. | -5 | -10 Points: No  - 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **6. Exits to Streets**  Source: APR Data Tracking Spreadsheet  5 points deducted if the percentage of the program’s exits to Places Not Meant for Human Habitation was greater than 5% during the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | Are exits to the street greater than 5%?  -5 Points: Yes  - 0 Points: No |  |
| **Total Possible Points Deductions** | **40** |  |  |

**Total Points Deducted: --**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **TOTAL** |
| **Points Awarded** |  |
| **Points Deducted** |  |
| **Points Possible** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE / PERCENTAGE** |  |